

ANTHROPOMORPHIC VASE – AG ALLOY – ROMAN TIMES

Artefact name Anthropomorphic vase

Authors (HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland). (Christian Degrigny, None) & Solène. Béguelin (HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland) & Valentin. Boissonnas (HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland)

Url /artefacts/1481/

✧ The object

Fig. 1: Front of the vase,



Credit HE-Arc CR_S.Béguelin.

✧ Description and visual observation

| | |
|--|---|
| Description of the artefact | This archaeological anthropomorphic vase has a round body topped by a woman's face. At the top of the handle is a ram's head. The object is covered with a brown-grey corrosion layer. The metal surface is deformed on the face and the body. H = 15.5cm ; Ø = 26cm. |
| Type of artefact | Vessel |
| Origin | Unknown |
| Recovering date | Date unknown |
| Chronology category | Roman Times |
| chronology tpq | <input type="text"/> ---- ▼ |
| chronology taq | <input type="text"/> ---- ▼ |
| Chronology comment | |
| Burial conditions / environment | Soil |
| Artefact location | Musée d'Art et d'Histoire de la Neuveville, La Neuveville, Bern |
| Owner | Musée d'Art et d'Histoire de la Neuveville, La Neuveville, Bern |
| Inv. number | MHLN-2006-24.076 |
| Recorded conservation data | A conservation-restoration treatment was carried out in 2023. The object underwent mechanical and chemical treatment (alkaline dithionite baths). |

Complementary information

The object was donated to the museum without any information about its provenance or possible dating.

Study area(s)



Credit HE-Arc CR_S.Béguelin.

Fig. 2: Locations of the four points analysed with X-ray fluorescence (black arrows A-D), the four samples (numbers 1-4) and the cross-section (yellow) analysed with SEM-EDS,

Binocular observation and representation of the corrosion structure

The schematic representation below gives an overview of the corrosion structure encountered on the object from a first visual macroscopic observation.

| Strata | Type of Stratum | Principal Characteristics |
|--------|------------------------------|---|
| S1 | Soil (with mica / feldspath) | light beige, medium, non-compact, powdery and containing black-brown scattered aggregates |
| S2 | Soil | brown, medium, compact, very soft |
| D1 | Deposit | white, thin, compact, hard, brittle |
| CP1 | Corroded product | light green, thin, discontinuous, non-compact, powdery |
| CP2 | Corroded product | pinkish brown, thin, discontinuous, compact, soft |
| CP3 | Corroded product | black, medium, discontinuous, compact, hard |
| CP4 | Corroded product | dark brown, medium, continuous, compact, soft |
| CP5 | Corroded product | red, thin, discontinuous, non-compact, powdery, soft |
| CP6 | Corroded product | light beige, thin, continuous, compact, very soft |
| M1 | Metal | yellowish grey, metallic, hard |
| M2 | Metal | grey-white, metallic, soft |

Table 1: Description of the principal characteristics of the strata as observed under binocular.




Fig. 3: Stratigraphic representation of the corrosion structure of the vase by macroscopic and binocular observation with indication of the corrosion structure used to build the MiCorr stratigraphy of Fig. 4 (red rectangle),

Credit HE-Arc CR_S.Béguelin.

✎ MiCorr stratigraphy(ies) – Bi





Fig. 4: Stratigraphic representation of the corrosion structure of the vase observed macroscopically under binocular microscope using the MiCorr application with reference to Fig. 3. The characteristics of the strata are only accessible by clicking on the drawing that redirects you to the search tool by stratigraphy representation, credit HE-Arc CR, S.Béguelin.

Sample(s)



Credit HEI Arc, S.Ramseyer.

Fig. 5: Micrograph of sample 1 (Fig. 2) of the vase showing a blister (the red arrow corresponds to the area where EDX analysis was carried out),





Fig. 6: Micrograph of sample 2 (Fig. 2) of the vase showing a concretion (the red arrow corresponds to the area where EDX analysis was carried out),

Credit HEI Arc, S.Ramseyer.




Credit HEI Arc, S.Ramseyer.

Fig. 7: Micrograph of sample 3 (Fig. 2) of the vase showing a small black element (the red arrow corresponds to the area where EDX analysis was carried out),



Credit HEI Arc, S.Ramseyer.

Fig. 8: Micrograph of sample 4 (Fig. 2) of the vase showing a piece of mineralized metal (the red arrows correspond to the areas where EDX analyses were carried out),



Credit HEI Arc, C.Cséfalvay.

Fig. 9: SEM picture (SE mode) of the cross-section of the vase showing the location of Figs.10 to 14, unetched,

Description of sample

Samples 1-4 were taken from different areas of the object (Fig. 2) using a scalpel; they were not embedded.

The cross-section embedded corresponds to a transversal cut made on the foot of the container (Fig. 2). A metallic core is present below the corrosion layers (Fig. 9).

Alloy

Ag alloy

Technology

Annealed after cold working

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Lab number of sample | None. |
| Sample location | Musée d'Art et d'Histoire de la Neuveville, La Neuveville, Bern |
| Responsible institution | Musée d'Art et d'Histoire de la Neuveville, La Neuveville, Bern |
| Date and aim of sampling | 20. of March 2023. For analysis and information purposes with a view to conservation-restoration treatment |

| |
|---------------------------|
| Complementary information |
| None. |

≡ Analyses and results

Analyses performed:

Non-invasive approach

- XRF with handheld portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (NITON XL3t 950 Air GOLDD+, Thermo Fischer®). General Metals mode, acquisition time 60s.

Invasive approach

- Optical microscopy: the sample is embedded in an EpoFix resin and polished, then it is observed on a digital microscope KEYENCE VHX-7000 in bright field.
- SEM-EDS: the sample is coated with a carbon layer and analyses are performed on a SEM-EDX JEOL JSM-7500 TFE equipped with a silicon-drift EDS Oxford detector. Accelerating voltage is 20 kV and probe current from a 1 to 10nA.
- Metallography: the polished sample (polishing conditions: final polishing disc made of short-haired synthetic felt and oil in aqueous emulsion (Lubricant DP, red)) is etched in an oxygenated ammoniacal solution (30 ml NH₄OH + 10ml H₂O₂ then add H₂O₂ until a reaction occurs). Two attempts were made: the first with just the oxygenated ammoniacal solution and the second by putting the same solution on the polishing disc instead of the lubricant (mechanical and chemical action). The second attempt worked best. The sample was then observed by optical microscopy in bright field.

≡ Non invasive analysis

The XRF analysis of the vase was carried out before sampling on four areas (Fig. 2). During the analysis, the layers of soil, corrosion and metal are analysed at the same time. The metal is probably a silver alloy with a small amount of Cu (and possibly Bi?). Some of the other elements detected are part of the burial environment (Al, Si, Fe, Cr) and corrosion products (Br, Cl). Titanium is probably an artefact.

| Elements (wt %) | Ag | Bi | Cu | Cr | Sn | Pb | Zn | Br | Cl | Al | Fe | V | Ti | Si |
|-----------------|----|----|----|------|----|------|------|----|----|----|----|------|------|----|
| A | 80 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | <0.5 | - | ++ | + | 7 | 2 | - | <0.5 | 6 |
| B | 93 | 1 | - | <0.5 | 2 | - | - | + | < | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| C | 75 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ++ | ++ | 13 | 2 | - | - | 5 |
| D | 78 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | ++ | + | 9 | 3 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 5 |

Table 2: Chemical composition of the surface of the vase at four points shown in Fig. 2. The results are rounded up to the nearest whole number. Method of analysis: handheld portable X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, HE-Arc CR.

The analysis of the metal was done with EDX.

The base metal consists of 1.5% copper, 1.8% zinc and 96.7% silver by weight. Silver is therefore only lightly alloyed. The zinc and copper are in the form of inclusions (Figs. 10 and 11). The grains are clearly visible, copper and zinc have precipitated in the grain boundaries and develop intergranular corrosion (Fig. 13). Iron inclusions are also visible in places (Fig. 12). The presence of bromine and chlorine has been attested (Fig. 13).

As a result of the chemical attack, various structures are visible. We can see twin lines (Fig. 14), which means that the structure has been several times annealed after hammering. We can also see in some places the presence of strain lines, which characterise the final hammering of a structure without annealing (Scott, 1991).




Fig. 10: SEM picture (BSE mode) of the metal sample from Fig. 9 (detail), with zinc inclusion,




Fig. 11: SEM picture (BSE mode) of the metal sample from Fig. 9 (detail), with copper inclusion,




Fig. 12: SEM picture (BSE mode) of the metal sample from Fig. 9 (detail), with iron inclusion,




Fig. 13: SEM picture (BSE mode) of the metal sample from Fig. 9 (detail) with high amount of silver, bromine and chlorine in the red circle,




Fig.14 : Micrograph of the sample after etching. Twin lines are clearly visible in the black square,

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Microstructure | Large and small polygonal grains with few twins + strain lines |
| First metal element | Ag |

Complementary information

None.


✧ Corrosion layers

Observation of the cross-section under a microscope does not clearly distinguish the different corrosion layers on the vase. We can see a continuous, dark brown corrosion layer. The area where the sample was taken does not contain any light green or red corrosion. On the other hand, we can see that the silver forms a sort of blister which makes it difficult to distinguish the limit of the original surface (Fig. 15).

Mapping using SEM-EDS shows that the corrosion layer consists mainly of silver, bromine and chlorine, with a larger amount of chlorine next to the metal surface (CP2 in Fig. 16). There are also inclusions of calcium, iron, chromium and lead combined with oxygen. Secondly, we can see the formation of intergranular corrosion with the presence of copper and zinc at the grain boundaries (Figs. 16 to 17).


Raman analysis was carried out on a sample of mineralised metal (Fig. 18). The spectrum obtained does not correspond to those presented in the literature for silver chloride. Therefore, it remains a hypothesis.

Based on the elemental chemical distribution, we can interpret better the EDX results of samples from Figs. 5 to 8. Indeed, blisters (Fig. 5) consist mainly of silver polluted on its surface with bromine and chlorine (Fig. 17). As for the piece of mineralised metal (Fig. 8), it also consists largely of silver, bromine and chlorine (same corrosion products as the blister). This is rather typical for such an archaeological object recovered from the ground (Marchand et al., 2014). The concretion (Fig. 6) is made up of carbon, oxygen, sodium, silicon, aluminium, calcium, a little potassium and phosphorus, also from the burial context. The black element (Fig. 7) could be likened to mica or feldspath (Figs. 16 and 17 with detail in Fig. 12). There is magnesium, silicon and oxygen, again from the environment in which the object was buried.




Credit HEI Arc, C.Cséfalvay.

Fig. 15: Micrograph of the corrosion structure from Fig. 9 with the subdivision of the different strata,




Credit HEI Arc, C.Cséfalvay.

Fig. 16: Elemental distribution of Ag within the corrosion structure from Fig. 15 (detail) with the subdivision of the different strata,



Credit HEI Arc, C.Cséfalvay.

Fig. 17: SEM picture (BSE-mode) similar to Fig. 16 (detail of Fig. 15) and elemental chemical distribution,



Credit HKB, N. Scherrer.

Fig. 18: Raman spectrum of the mineralised metal sample (Fig. 8) possibly silver chloride,

Corrosion form Uniform - intergranular

Corrosion type Silver corrosion

Complementary information

None.

✧ MiCorr stratigraphy(ies) – CS




Fig. 19: Stratigraphic representation of the sample of the vase observed in cross-section under dark field using the MiCorr application. The characteristics of the strata are only accessible by clicking on the drawing that redirects you to the search tool by stratigraphy representation. This representation was build according to Fig.15, credit HE-Arc CR, S.Béguelin.

✧ Synthesis of the binocular / cross-section examination of the corrosion structure

Compared with Figure 4, the amount of information is just limited to an area where there is only one blister. Observation of the cross-section did not reveal all the corrosion layers visible on the entire object when it was probed under binoculars. In fact, only two layers of corrosion are visible (CP1 and CP2) (Fig. 19). They correspond to two different corrosion layers of the Bi MiCorr stratigraphy (CP2 and CP4, Fig. 4). CM1 (Fig.19) could correspond to CP6 and M1 on Fig. 4. M2 on Fig. 4 corresponds to M1 on Fig. 19.

The lack of information when examining the cross-section is due to the fact that the colours of the layers are very close to each other. The sample is fairly representative of the object, showing mainly a layer of corrosion rich in Ag, Cl and Br on a metal that is subject to intergranular corrosion. The sample's location was chosen because it's a discrete area and it allowed us to analyse the metal at its core.




Fig. 20: Stratigraphic representation side by side of binocular view and cross-section (dark field),

Credit HE-Arc CR_S.Béguelin.

Conclusion

The base metal of this anthropomorphic vase is low-alloy silver with 1.5% copper, 1.8% zinc by weight. Copper and zinc inclusions have precipitated at the grain boundaries, forming intergranular corrosion below the corrosion layers. Differences in electrochemical activity of elements (Zn versus to Ag) lead to localised corrosion which developed as intergranular corrosion (Liu et al., 2020).

In terms of the microstructure, twin lines can be observed, which means that several annealing and hammering processes were carried out successively. In some places, strained lines can be seen, which characterise the cold hammering of a structure that has been hammered and annealed (Scott, 1991).

The sediments and corrosion products present on the object are due to the environment in which it was buried. According to the analyses carried out (X-ray fluorescence and scanning electron microscopy coupled to the EDS system), the sediments consist mainly of silicon, calcium and aluminium. The corrosion products of silver are mainly chlorides and bromides.

In a buried environment, silver can corrode strongly under the effect of relative humidity and soluble salts. Chloride ions are particularly corrosive to silver. Silver then reacts with the chlorides to form silver chloride (horned silver) (Marchand et al., 2014). The basic colour of silver chloride is white, but when polluted by other elements, it can become grey, brown or dull lavender (Selwyn, 2004). Dissolved bromide ions come from the decomposition of organic matter, and silver can also react with them to form silver bromide (Selwyn, 2004). The mineralisation process occurs slowly and this state allows the traces left by tools and decorations on the object to be preserved (Costa, 2001). In some cases, such as the vase, the metal is only mineralised in places.

The limit of the original surface (limitos) could not be located precisely but it certainly within the silver-based corrosion products.

References

References on analytical methods and interpretation

1. Scott, D. (1991) *Metallography and Microstructure of Ancient and Historic Metals*. Los Angeles, Getty Conservation Institute.
2. Selwyn, L. (2004) *Métaux et corrosion : un manuel pour le professionnel de la conservation*. Ottawa, Institut canadien de conservation.
3. Costa, V. (2001) The deterioration of silver alloys and some aspects of their conservation. *Studies in Conservation*, vol. 46, 18-34.
4. Liu et al. (2020) Scanning electrochemical cell microscopy: A powerful method to study the intergranular corrosions of archaeological silver artifacts. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, no. 46, 176-183.

Reference on object and sample

5. Marchand et al. (2014) Degradation of archaeological horn silver artefacts in burials. *Heritage Science*, vol 2, 1-7.