



# KNIFE WITH A GROOVE ON BOTH SIDES DEV 995 814 PR - FE ALLOY - EARLY MEDIEVAL TIMES - SWITZERLAND

Artefact name Knife with a groove on both sides DEV 995 814 PR

Authors Marianne. Senn (EMPA, Dübendorf, Zurich, Switzerland) & Christian. Degrigny (HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel,

Switzerland)

Url /artefacts/326/

### ▼ The object



Credit HE-Arc CR.

Fig. 1: Iron and steel knife (after Eschenlohr et al., 2007, 266 & 302),

# $\,\,st\,$ Description and visual observation

**Description of the artefact** Knife, with a groove on both sides of the blade spine (Fig. 1). Dimensions: L = 20.1cm; WT = 30g.

Type of artefact Household implement

Origin Settlement Develier, Courtételle, Jura, Switzerland

**Recovering date** Excavated in 1995, farm 1

Chronology category Early medieval times

chronology tpq 550 A.D. ✓

chronology taq 750 A.D. ✓

Chronology comment 550 \_ 750 AD

Burial conditions / environment Soil

Artefact location Office de la Culture, Porrentruy, Jura

Owner Office de la Culture, Porrentruy, Jura

Inv. number DEV 995/814 PR

Recorded conservation data

Conserved between 1995 and 2000: desiccation below 80°C, mechanical cleaning, passivation with tannic

acid and protection with Paraloid B72® (Eschenlohr et al. 2007, 75).

Complementary information

Nothing to report.



Fig. 2: Location of sampling area,

Credit HE-Arc CR.

Stratigraphic representation: none.

# 

### 




Fig. 3: Micrograph of the cross-section showing the location of Figs. 5 to 8 and 11 to 14,

Credit HE-Arc CR.

Description of sample The cross-section shows a cut through the tip of the knife (Fig. 2). The metal is surrounded by thick

corrosion products (Fig. 3). Dimensions: L = 8mm; Wmax. = 2mm.

Alloy Fe Alloy

Technology Hot worked, composite of two wrought iron bars, tip cemented, quench-hardened and tempered

Lab number of sample **DEV 814** 

Sample location Empa (Marianne Senn)

Responsible institution Office de la Culture, Porrentruy, Jura

Date and aim of sampling 2000, metallography and chemical composition of the metal

### Complementary information

Nothing to report.

### 

### Analyses performed:

Metallography (nital etched after etching with Oberhoffer's reagent), Vickers hardness testing, LA-ICP-MS, SEM/EDS.

### Non invasive analysis

The remaining metal consists of two forged wrought iron bars, one of which includes a carburized tip (M1, Table 1). They are separated by a welding seam (M2, Figs. 9 and 10). The ferritic part (M3) is Cu-rich, whereas the carburized tip has a low and medium content of trace elements (Table 1). The metal contains elongated slag inclusions (Fig. 5) showing a structure of wüstite (FeO) in a glassy matrix (Fig. 6 and Table 2). Most of the slag inclusions are arranged in rows, marking the welding seam (Figs. 5 and 9) and following the forging direction. Their chemical composition differs in the Mn content (Table 2): the latter is higher in the slag inclusions of the carburized tip. The high P content of the slag in the ferritic part must be noted since the metal in general has a medium P content (Tables 1 and 2). Etching with Oberhoffer's reagent solution makes the P distribution visible (Fig. 10). Dark areas are depleted of P whereas P-rich zones, such as those found in the welding seam, appear in white. After nital etching, the very fine steel microstructure of the tip shows the transition from hypoeutectoid to eutectoid steel (ferrite component in white and pearlite component in black, partly bainite, Figs. 7, 8 and 9). The body of the knife is made of wrought iron with an annealed, irregular ferritic structure (Figs. 7 and 8). The average hardness of the wrought iron (HV1 130) is a little higher than expected, whereas the hardness of steel in the hypoeutectoid-eutectoid tip (HV1 360) is an indication of quench-hardening followed by tempering.

Elements		Cr	Mn		Со		Cu	As	Ag	Ni/Co	C* mass%
Body M3 (median of 2 similar analyses) mg/kg	<	<	7	400	60	20	1300	300	<	0.3	0/0.2
Tip - M1 (median of 7 similar analyses) mg/kg	<	4	100	500	40	70	400	70	<	1.8	0.8
Detection limit mg/kg	0.7	2	0.4	68	0.4	3	2	0.8	0.4		
RSD1 %	-	26	95	92	9	3	79	24			
RSD2 %	-	-	112	42	13	26	20	47			

## \*visually estimated

Table 1: Chemical composition of the metal. Method of analysis: LA-ICP-MS, Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Empa (for details see Devos et al. 2000).

Location	Environment	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MgO	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	SiO <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> 0	CaO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	FeO	Total	SiO <sub>2</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Glass	Pearlite, tip	0.7	<	9.8	72	<	6.2	3.3	0.9	1.7	8.9	104	7.3
n. d.	Pearlite, tip	<	<	3.5	34	<	1.5	1.1	<	1.0	65	107	9.8
n. d.	Ferrite (average of 4 similar analyses), body	<	0.9	3.8	30	1.2	1.5	1.6	<	<	64	104	7.8
n. d.	Ferrite, body	<	<	1.5	14	<	0.7	0.6	<	<	88	105	9.3

n. d. = structure not determined

Table 2: Chemical composition of the slag inclusions (mass%) at the tip (pearlite) and the body (ferrite) of the knife. Method of analysis: SEM/EDS, Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Empa.




Fig. 5: Micrograph of the metal sample from Fig. 3 (detail), unetched, bright field. In white the metal, in light-grey the slag inclusions and the corrosion layer. The micrograph of Fig. 6 is marked by a rectangle,

Credit HE-Arc CR.





Fig. 6: Micrograph (detail of Fig. 5), unetched, bright field. The slag inclusions have a structure of wüstite dendrites (light-grey) in a glassy matrix (dark-grey),

Credit HE-Arc CR.



Credit HE-Arc CR.

Fig. 7: Micrograph of the metal sample from Fig. 3 (detail), nital etched, bright field. In white we observe the ferrite, in black or darkgrey the pearlite and bainite. The micrograph of Fig. 8 is marked by a rectangle,




Fig. 8: Micrograph (detail of Fig. 7), nital etched, bright field,

Credit HE-Arc CR.




Fig. 9: Micrograph of the metal sample from Fig. 3 (rotated by  $45^{\circ}$ ), nital etched, bright field. In white we observe the ferrite, in black or dark-grey the pearlite. The red arrow shows the welding seam,

Credit HE-Arc CR.




Fig. 10. Micrograph of the metal sample from Fig. 3, etched with Oberhoffer's reagent. The welding seam appears in white (red arrow),  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ 

Credit HE-Arc CR.

Microstructure Recrystallized grain structure

First metal element Fe

Other metal elements C, P, Cu

Complementary information

Nothing to report.

♥ Corrosion layers

The metal - corrosion products interface is irregular (Figs. 3, 5 and 11) and the average thickness of the corrosion crust is 200µm. In bright field, the corrosion appears grey, rather heterogeneous and heavily cracked. A thin light-grey layer (indicated by an arrow in Fig. 11) can be detected. Under polarised light the corrosion is more clearly stratified, the thin layer mentioned before is black (CP3) and surrounded by dark-brown (CP2) and orange-red (CP1) corrosion layers (Figs. 12 and 13). It contains less 0 (magnetite or hematite?) than the orange-brown corrosion products (iron hydroxides?) (Table 3 and Fig. 14). The outer corrosion layer (covering the aforementioned thin black layer) contains external markers such as quartz grains and other rock fragments (Ca, Fig. 14). The shape of the blade is preserved in the corrosion crust (Fig. 14, arrows on the SEM image). The absence of P, an external marker, highlights where the limit of the original surface was located (interface CP1 / CP2).

Elements	0	Si		Ca	Fe	Total
Black layer (CP3)	26	<	<	<	69	95
Dark-brown corrosion products (average of 3 similar analyses) (CP2)	29	<	<	<	63	92
Red / orange corrosion products (CP1)	32	1.4	1.1	0.7	56	92

Table 3: Chemical composition (mass %) of the corrosion layer (from Fig. 13). Method of analysis: SEM/EDS, Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Empa.




Fig. 11: Micrograph showing the metal - corrosion crust interface from Fig. 3 (detail of Fig. 12), unetched, bright field. The heterogeneous and heavily cracked corrosion layer appears grey enclosing a light-grey layer (indicated by an arrow),

Credit HE-Arc CR.



Credit HE-Arc CR.

Fig. 12: Micrograph showing the metal - corrosion crust interface from Fig. 3 (detail) unetched, polarised light. The micrographs of Fig. 11 and Fig. 13 are marked by the large and small rectangles respectively. The light-grey layer of Fig. 11 (arrow) appears black whereas the rest of the corrosion layer is brown, red and orange,




Fig. 13: Micrograph (detail from Fig. 12) corresponding to the stratigraphy of Fig. 4, unetched, polarised light. The black corrosion layer next to the metal surface corresponds to the light-grey layer indicated with an arrow on Fig. 11,

Credit HE-Arc CR.




Fig. 14: SEM image, BSE-mode, and elemental chemical distribution of the selected area from Fig. 12 (inversed picture, detail). Method of examination: SEM/EDS, Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Empa,

Corrosion form

Uniform - transgranular

Corrosion type

?

# Complementary information

Nothing to report.

### × MiCorr stratigraphy(ies) – CS




Fig. 4: Stratigraphic representation of the object in cross-section using the MiCorr application. This representation can be compared to Fig. 13.

### ➤ Synthesis of the binocular / cross-section examination of the corrosion structure

Corrected stratigraphic representation: none.

### **♥** Conclusion

The knife is forged from two wrought iron bars which have been welded together. The tip is carburized. The recrystallized structure of the ferrite is probably the consequence of tempering the tip. The metal compositions of both alloys differ from the one worked in the forges of Develier-Courtételle (Eschenlohr et al. 2007, 71). For this reason this well worked knife is identified as an importation to the early medieval village Develier-Courtételle. The limit of the original surface (limitos) is still preserved within the remaining corrosion layers. Chemically it can be located at the interface of the P-rich outer corrosion layer and the P-poor inner corrosion products. Visually it can be located by the presence of sediments in the outer corrosion layers and most likely by the hardness and coloration of the inner corrosion products (magnetite?). It is an example of a terrestrial corrosion crust.

### ▼ References

# References on object and sample

# References object

1. Eschenlohr, L., Friedli, V., Robert-Charrue Linder, C., Senn, M. (2007) Develier-Courtételle. Un habitat mérovingien. Métallurgie du fer et mobilier métallique. Cahier d'archéologie jurassienne 14 (Porrentruy), 302.

# References sample

2. Eschenlohr, L., Friedli, V., Robert-Charrue Linder, C., Senn, M. (2007) Develier-Courtételle. Un habitat mérovingien. Métallurgie du fer et mobilier métallique. Cahier d'archéologie jurassienne 14 (Porrentruy), 266.

References on analytic methods and interpretation