



# DOMED CAP NUT – ZN AL SN CU ALLOY – MODERN TIMES – FRANCE

Artefact name	Domed cap nut					
Authors	Christian. Degrigny (HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland) & Mathea. Hovind (University of Oslo, Department of archaeology, conservation and history (IAKH-UiO), Oslo, Oslo, Norway)					
Url	/artefacts/497/					
a 🕡	ь	Fig. 1: Octogonal domed cap nut. Profile "a" and "b", to the left and right, respectively,				

Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

Description of the artefact	A domed cap nut made of a cast alloy with a metallic plating (Fig. 1). Its shape is octagonal and the inside is hollow. The plating is heavily cracked, leading to surface flaking. The metal appears to be consumed by some kind of internal corrosion. Dimensions: L (ø) = 40mm; H = 28mm; T = 5mm; WT = 49g.					
Type of artefact	Сар					
Origin	Château de Germolles (14th century), Mellecey, Bourgogne, France					
Recovering date	Date unknown					
Chronology category	Modern Times					
chronology tpq	1900 A.D. 🗸					
chronology taq	2000 A.D. 🗸					
Chronology comment	19th - 20th century					
Burial conditions / environment	Outdoor atmosphere					
Artefact location	Haute Ecole Arc Conservation-Restauration					
Owner	Château de Germolles, Mellecey, Bourgogne					

#### Inv. number

Not registered.

**Recorded conservation data** 

No conservation data recorded.

### Complementary information

## Nothing to report.

### $\forall$ Study area(s)



Fig. 2: Zone of the artefact submitted to visual observation and location of sampling area (a cross-section of the metal marked by the red line),

Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

### lpha Binocular observation and representation of the corrosion structure

The schematic representation below (Fig. 3) gives an overview of the corrosion layers encountered on the object from a first visual macroscopic observation.




Fig. 3: Preliminary stratigraphy corresponding to the overall surface of the artefact. CP = Corrosion Product, S = Soil, M = Metal,

# ➢ MiCorr stratigraphy(ies) − Bi

# Sample(s)




Fig. 4: Micrograph of the cross-section of the sample taken from the domed cap nut showing the locations of Figs. 7 and 9-12,

Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

Description of sample	The sample is a cross section of the metal, representative of the domed cap nut. It shows external cracks extending from the metal surface and into its structure in addition to internal cracks, visible as thin lines along its longitudinal axis (Fig. 4).
Alloy	Zn Al Sn Cu Alloy
Technology	None
Lab number of sample	NZC2018 (Ni/Zn Cap, sampled in 2018)
Sample location	HE-Arc CR, Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel
Responsible institution	Haute Ecole Arc Conservation-Restauration
Date and aim of sampling	March 2018, study of corrosion stratigraphy and chemical analyses

## **Complementary information**

The fact that the artefact was considered as test material enabled extensive sampling that would not otherwise be possible.

# imes Analyses and results

## Analyses performed:

Metallography: microscope: Leica DMi8 (a metallographic, inverted, reflected light microscope) with magnification up to 500X. Camera: Olympus SC50 connected to the software "Olympus Stream", version 1.9.4. Illumination modes: bright field and cross-polarized light. The metal is unetched.

SEM-EDS: instrument: Jeol 6400; voltage: 20 kV; working distance: 18 and 24mm; sample preparation: palladium depot.

➢ Non invasive analysis

The metal of the domed cap nut (M2) consists of a Zn/Al/Pb/Cu/Sn alloy (Table 1) externally covered by a nickel-based plating (M1) (Figs. 7 and 8) containing some cobalt (Co). The bulk metal (M2) has a dendritic microstructure appearing light grey in bright field, while the interdendritic phase appears white (Fig. 9). The latter is Zn-rich, while the dendritic phase consists of approximately equal amounts of Zn and Al (Table 2, Fig. 11). Observation in SEM (BSE-mode) reveals the presence of Pb-nodules, visible as white irregular spots with a scattered distribution, in addition to opaque grey patches which are rich in Al and Fe (Fig. 10).

El	ements	Zn	Al	0	С	Pb	Cu	Sn	Si	Fe
mass%*		54	23	11	6	3	2	1.5	0.3	0.2

Table 1: Chemical composition of the metal (M2). Method of analysis: SEM-EDS. Lab. of Electronic Microscopy and Microanalysis, Néode, HEI Arc, credit MiCorr\_HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay. \*The value is the calculated average of three analyses of the same feature, but in different areas.

Phase*	Elements mass %	Zn	Al	0	С	Pb	Cu	Sn	Si	Fe
Dendrites		31	32	25	6	2	2	1	0.2	0.1
Interdendritic phase		86	0.7	2	6	3	2	0.8	0.2	0.1

Table 2: Chemical composition of the matrix consisting of dendrites and an interdendritic phase. Method of analysis: SEM-EDS. Lab. of Electronic Microscopy and Microanalysis, Néode, HEI Arc, credit MiCorr\_HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay. \*The value is the calculated average of three analyses of the same feature, but in different areas.





Fig. 7: SEM-image (BSE-mode) of a selected area from Fig. 4 (detail), showing the metal plating (M1) and the bulk metal (M2),


Credit HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay.




Credit HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay.

Fig. 8: EDS-spectrum showing the chemical composition of the metal plating (M1). Method of analysis: SEM-EDS. Lab. of Electronic Microscopy and and Microanalysis, Néode, HEI Arc,


Fig. 9: Micrograph of the metal sample from Fig. 4 (detail), unetched, bright field. The dendrites appear light to dark grey while the interdendritic phase appears white. The metal is characterized by surface cracks as well as internal cracks. The lower red rectangle corresponds to Fig. 10 while the areas



Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.



Credit HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay.



He 31 W MMg He 3 me - NC → G μn →

Credit HEI Arc, C.Csefalvay.

Microstructure	Dendritic structure
First metal element	Zn
Other metal elements	Al, Cu, Sn, Pb

are marked by the upper red rectangle,

selected for elemental chemical distributions (Figs. 11 and 12)

Fig. 10: SEM-image in BSE-mode (left) and EDS-spectrum (right), showing the appearance and the chemical composition of the Pb inclusions (red arrows). The inclusions appear white, the dendrites appear dark grey/black and the interdentritic phase appears grey. Grey opaque patches (yellow arrows) are Fe- and Al-rich phases,

Fig. 11: SEM image and elemental chemical distribution of the selected area from Fig. 9 (rotated 270° and inversed). Method of analysis: SEM-EDS. Lab. of Electronic Microscopy and Microanalysis, Néode, HEI Arc,

# **Complementary information**

The domed cap nut is nickel plated (6-7 micrometers).

# ➢ Corrosion layers

The dendritic phase is heavily oxidized compared to the interdendritic phase (Table 2 and Fig. 12). The corrosion has developed throughout the entire metal body, generating cracks (Fig. 9). The cracks are Zn and O-rich (Fig. 12) and could be composed of zinc carbonate. It could be a case of zinc pest, an intergranular corrosion phenomenon known to cause disintegration in poor quality alloys (Selwyn 2004, Zhang 2011).

The external corrosion products and deposits (Fig. 3) were documented but not analyzed as they were considered to be mainly exogenous in origin and not the main reason behind the deterioration of the object. The orange deposit (S1) is probably soil from Fe-rich environment, while the thin green layer (CP1) is most likely consisting of an oxide of Ni from

 the nickel plating (M1). The white corrosion product (CP2) can either be a salt from the environment, corrosion products of Zn/Al or Zinc carbonate.




Fig. 12: SEM image and elemental chemical distribution of the selected area from Fig. 9 (rotated 270° and inversed). Method of analysis: SEM-EDS. Lab. of Electronic Microscopy and Microanalysis, Néode, HEI Arc,

Corrosion form	Internal cracking
Corrosion type	zinc pest

**Complementary information** 

Nothing to report.

## ➢ MiCorr stratigraphy(ies) − CS




Fig. 5: Stratigraphic representation of the sample taken from the domed cap nut in cross-section using the MiCorr application. The characteristics of the strata are only accessible by clicking on the drawing that redirects you to the search tool by stratigraphy representation. Stratigraphy representative of an area with intact metal plating (M1). S1 represents Fe-polluted soil while CP1 is a green translucent corrosion product, Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

Fig. 6: Stratigraphic representation of the sample taken from the domed cap nut in cross-section using the MiCorr application. The characteristics of the strata are only accessible by clicking on the drawing that redirects you to the search tool by stratigraphy representation. Area where the metal plating is missing. CP1 corresponds to CP2 in Fig. 3, CM1 represents the corroded metal phase, while M1 corresponds to the bulk metal (M2 in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5), Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

imes Synthesis of the binocular / cross-section examination of the corrosion structure

The schematic representation of corrosion layers integrating additional information based on the analyses carried out is given in Fig. 13.



Fig. 13: Improved stratigraphic representation of the domed cap nut with results from SEM-EDS analysis and visual microscopic observation. The colour of the metal was changed to grey as this was the colour of the metal when viewed in cross-section.

Credit UiO-IAKH, M.Hovind.

CP = corrosion product, S = soil, M = metal, CM = corroded metal,

## imes Conclusion

The domed cap nut is made of nickel plated Zn/Al/Pb/Cu/Sn alloy. It has a dendritic microstructure, indicative of production by casting (probably a die cast). The deterioration of the metal could be due to absence of cohesion between the different phases which enabled the penetration of oxygen during the manufacture of the alloy and the formation of internal corrosion products, eventually leading to expansion of its internal structure (zinc pest).

# ➢ References

References on object and sample

### References sample

 Selwyn, L. (2004) Metals and corrosion: A handbook for the conservation professional. Ottawa: Canadian Conservation Institute, 155-156.
Zhang, X. G. (2011) "Zinc". In. Revie R. W. ed. Uhlig's Corrosion Handbook, 3rd ed. Toronto, ON: John Wiley & Sons, 879 – 892.

References on analytic methods and interpretation